

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,202	11/27/2001	Marcus Palazzo	04645.1003	9525
7:	590 05/19/2003			
Michael F. Scalise			EXAMINER	
Hodgson Russ LLP Suite 2000			ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND	
One M&T Plaz Buffalo, NY 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2411410,111	1203 2371		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				HG
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/995,202	PALAZZO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Raymond Alejandro	1745	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate Theorem 19 The Mail Indiana Propriate Theorem 19	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspond nce addre	ess
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIFICATION. ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BIX (30) days, a replayed for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period at the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ly within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX (e, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comnome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication,
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	November 2001 .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final		
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for forma Ex parte Quayle, 19	al matters, prosecution as to the r 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is
4) ⊠ (Claim(s) $1-30$ is/are pending in the application	n.		
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideratio	n.	
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•		
7) 🗀 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement		
9)[] T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)[T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected t	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action		
12) T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		-
riority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:			
•	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been receive	d	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been receive	d in Application No	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	age
14) 🗌 Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
15) 🗌 A	The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			
Attachment(•	, .		
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 er:	. 52)
5. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 5	

Application/Control Number: 09/995,202

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to an electrochemical cell having specific electrode constituents, classified in class 429, subclass 217.
 - II. Claims 11-18, drawn to an electrode comprising specific constituents, classified in class 252, subclass 182.1.
 - III. Claims 19-30, drawn to a method for providing an electrode, classified in class29, subclass 623.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I directed to an electrochemical cell per se can use either a positive electrode or a negative electrode made from another material other than first and second binders, for example, a positive/negative electrode consisting of a single binder itself, or a positive/negative electrode consisting a polymeric material other than a halogenated polymer or a positive/negative electrode consisting of an active material without organic components such as active materials only containing metallic compounds or inorganic binders. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing an active material showing electrochemical activity.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,202

Art Unit: 1745

- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects, for example, invention I is directed to an electrochemical cell which generates electrochemical energy while invention II is directed to a method for providing an electrode per se.
- 4. Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, as admitted by the applicant, different process including steps where: a) the binder mixture is pressed to the current collector before being heat cured; b) being heat cured at different temperatures before being pressed to the current collector, or being pressed before being cured.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,202

Art Unit: 1745

7. A telephone call was made to Michael F. Scalisse on 05/07/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 am - 7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Raymond Alejandro Examiner Art Unit 1745 RAM